TAB 11B

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT CHARLESTON

____X

THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON, : Civil Action

Plaintiff, : No. 3:17-cv-01362

V.

AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants. :

CABELL COUNTY COMMISSION, : Civil Action

Plaintiff, : No. 3:17-cv-01665

v. :

AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG : CORPORATION, et al., :

Defendants. : x

BENCH TRIAL - VOLUME 19
BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID A. FABER, SENIOR STATUS JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
IN CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA

MAY 27, 2021

BY MR. FARRELL:

Q. One final area of inquiry.

You testified yesterday -- you were being asked about a particular provision in the C.F.R. You were asked about whether or not there was a duty on the manufacturers, a duty on the pharmacists, a duty on the pharmacies, a duty on the doctors. And they made reference to a particular C.F.R. provision relating to corresponding responsibility.

Do you recall that?

- A. I do.
 - Q. I think I have the actual testimony. You were asked about specific DEA rules, or a specific DEA regulation and you made the comment that that rule specifically says pharmacist. Do you recall that?
- A. I do.
 - Q. Now, aside from the fact that that regulation says pharmacist, you are aware, are you not, that the DEA has taken the position since as early as 2012 in the *Holiday CVS* case that that corresponding responsibility also extends to the pharmacy?
 - **A.** I'm aware of that.
 - Q. And that the DEA has routinely -- not routinely -- has regularly revoked the registration of pharmacies across the United States for failing to maintain effective control and failing to abide by its corresponding responsibility.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
That's a correct statement. The, the pharmacist is the
person who evaluates the prescription. And the actual DEA
registration is held by the pharmacy. So that's why the,
the administrative action is against the DEA registration.
Ο.
     And, in fact, it's the pharmacy that pays the fine?
     That's correct.
Α.
    The pharmacist can go to jail?
    Yes. And -- yes.
    And then, finally, -- I don't have the transcript
directly in front of me. But there was a discussion briefly
yesterday where they were asked whether or not the failure
to report suspicious orders could result in diversion. And
I wanted to revisit that to make sure the record is
perfectly clear.
     If a registrant reports an order and still ships it, do
you believe that more likely than not that diversion will
occur?
     I do.
Α.
          MS. MAINIGI: Objection, Your Honor.
                                                This is a
causation opinion and calls for a legal conclusion.
          MR. SCHMIDT: We join, and foundation. There's no
methodology supporting this.
          MR. NICHOLAS: We join as well.
          THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
BY MR. FARRELL:
```

Ayme A. Cochran, RMR, CRR (304) 347-3128

```
1
            I believe you were asked yesterday whether or not
2
       you believe that failure to report a suspicious order is
 3
       a, is a cause of diversion. Do you recall that
 4
       testimony?
 5
            If we're talking exact language, I don't -- I'm sorry,
 6
       I don't want to say I recall that exactly.
 7
            What's the purpose of identifying, blocking, and
       Q.
 8
       reporting suspicious orders?
 9
           Prevent diversion.
10
       Q. And if you fail to identify, block, and report
11
       suspicious orders, what do you believe is the, is the likely
12
       result?
13
                 MR. SCHMIDT: Same objection, Your Honor. There's
14
       no foundation for that.
15
                 MS. MAINIGI: Join.
16
                 MR. NICHOLAS: Join.
17
                 THE COURT: Well, I'll sustain the objection.
18
       think -- well, sustained.
19
                 MR. FARRELL: Yes. And so to be clear, Judge, I
20
       don't want there to be a particular question on cross that
21
       winds up in a directed verdict motion that is based on an
22
       area where the defendants have laid objections to the
23
       inquiry.
24
                 MS. MAINIGI: Your Honor, I believe this Court was
25
       quite generous in the direct in allowing a huge number of
```

Ayme A. Cochran, RMR, CRR (304) 347-3128

```
1
       questions in this particular area. I think there was some
2
       cleanup on cross on that. But I think Mr. Farrell covered
 3
       these areas adequately.
                 THE COURT: Yeah, I agree. I think we've been
 4
 5
       over this at great length and it's a very simple point and I
 6
       understand it, Mr. Farrell.
 7
                 MR. FARRELL: Thank you, Judge.
            May I confer for a moment?
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: Yes.
10
            (Pause)
11
                 MR. FARRELL: Judge, I know it's early. Can we
12
       have five minutes because not only do I need to confer, but
13
       I need to make some phone calls?
14
                 THE COURT: Yes. Let's, let's come back at
15
       10:00 o'clock.
16
            (Recess taken from 9:54 a.m. until 10:02 a.m.)
17
                 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Farrell.
18
       BY MR. FARRELL:
19
           Mr. Rafalski, you were asked this morning about the
20
       DU45s. And you were asked about the ILRs the day
21
      before.
22
       A. That's correct.
23
           And just for framing purposes, these were the excessive
24
       order reports that were used by the distributors pre-2008;
25
       correct?
```

Ayme A. Cochran, RMR, CRR (304) 347-3128